John D. Lee, Second Trial

Discussion of Utah Law

[This is the only extant Rogerson Shorthand for the second trial]

[1] MON SEP 178/76 -

LEE CASE-THIS MORNING [space] MOTION BY DEFENSE IN ITS PROVIDENCE/—[?] SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT BEFORE COMMON/K[?] PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES TERRITORY OF UTAH VS. JOHN D. LEE DEFENDANT NOW COMES JOHN D. LEE IN HIS OWN PROPER PERSON<sup>701</sup> AND BY HIS COUNSEL AND MOVES COURT TO A DISCONTINUANCE IN ABOVE ENTITLED ACTION AND SAID DEFENDANT ASSIGNS FOLLOWING REASONS GROUND[?] FOR THIS MOTION [space] INDICTMENT UPON WHICH PROSECUTION IS BASED IN SAID ACTION WAS FOUND UNDER AND IN PURSUANCE OF AND BASED UPON ACT OF GOVERNOR AND LEGISLATURE OF THE TERRITORY OF UTAH<sup>702</sup> "THAT SAID ACT BEEN REPEALED BY AN ACT OF GOVERNOR AND LEGISLATURE" MARCH 4TH MAR 6/52 1876. **2|?|ND** CRIME CHARGED IN INDICTMENT IS ALLEGED IN SAID INDICTMENT IN TRYING THIS CASE TO HAVE BEEN COMMITTED BY ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT IN SEPTEMBER 1857 AND BY THE THIRD BY REASON OF LAW IN

FORCE THIS COURT HAS NO

[[Bk 3 36 cont.]]703

## MONDAY SEPT 18TH 1876.

<sup>701.</sup> The shorthand has no vowels; it could also be the Latin "propia persona".

<sup>702.</sup> Document is in pencil to this point, in ink thereafter.

<sup>703.</sup> On verso of page: 148 BISHOP ON STATUTORY CRIMES SECTION 151 175-6-7 185 UTAH STATES —[?] DIGEST 706-65/66 [space] AMERICAN REPORTS 11 [space] 304 *COM./CORN*[?] **VS. BENNETT.** 

JURISDICTION FURTHER INQUIRE INTO OR PROCEED IN THIS ACTION COUNSEL HAVE NOT HAD **OPPORTUNITY EXAMINE** ACQUAINT THEMSELVES WITH SAID ACT [space] PRAY SAID INDICTMENT BE QUASHED AND DISMISSED BE FURTHER DISCHARGE IN CASE [space] SIGNED JOHN D. LEE AND SWORN TO BY THE CLERK [space] H LET'S HAVE UNDERSTANDING REGARDING THIS AND TOLD THEM *THAT/WE*[?] WAIVE [space] IN AS MUCH AS THIS MOTION MAY BE MADE PART OF RECORD HEREAFTER I ASK FOR COPY OF IT DEFENSE AGREED GIVE COPY OF MOTION SO MIGHT GO UPON RECORDS [space] SPICER ASKED BE PERMITTED LOOK AT INDICTMENT IN THIS CASE, SPICER CALLED ATTENTION OF COURT ACT OF MARCH 6TH 18452 [space] THIS INDICTMENT BASED UPON THAT ACT. FOR THAT *PS*[?] WILL CALL ATTENTION TO YOUR HONOR'S WORDS USED IN THAT INDICTMENT [space] READ WORDING OF INDICTMENT, 16 DAY SEPTEMBER 1857. INDICTMENT FOUND UNDER TITLE SECOND SECTION 4, 5 AND SIX OF THE TERRITORIAL STATUTES OF UTAH TERRITORY BEING INDICTMENT FOR VIOLATION OF THOSE STATUTES [space]

> FOSTER [space] MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HONOR I HAVE UNDERSTOOD AND I BELIEVE MY BROTHER HOWARD SENSES THAT THESE PAPERS I HOLD IN MY HANDS IS A COPY OF THE LAST LEGISLATURE'S

CALL ATTENTION CLOSE

COMPARISONS[?] OF PENAL CODE OF UTAH; PAGE 113 THIS MANUSCRIPT SECTION 399 CIVIL ACTS OF THE GOVERNOR LEGISLATURE OF THIS TERRITORY IN THIS SECTION ALL TO THE SAME ARE HEREBY REPEALED 1ST ACT ENTITLED IN RELATION CRIMES PUNISHMENTS —[?]

ACT
IN RELATION TO CRIMES AND
PUNISHMENT. [space] HOWARD
[space] YES SIR I BROUGHT IT HERE
—[?] AND I PRK/PRG[?] WITH
THEM/THAT THEY[?] [[37]]
UNDERSTANDING OF THE COURT
AT SALT LAKE FIRST[?]

APPROVED MARCH 6 1852. THERE AND THEN *LEGISLATURE*[?] DECLARED ACCEPTED[?] IN EXPRESS/PRINCIPLES[?] WORDS OF SAID STATUTE UNDER WHICH THIS INDICTMENT WAS FOUND [space] IT BEING AN INDICTMENT LARGELY[?] IN VIOLATION OF THAT STATUTE [space] READ FROM FIRST SHEET SECTION 32D PAGE ONE. THESE CODES TOOK EFFECT 12 O'CLOCK 4TH MARCH 1876 [space] ON 4TH MARCH 1876 THIS LAW CAME INTO EFFECT AND BECAME THE LAW OF TERRITORY OF UTAH KILLED PRIOR LAWS WITH THIS LAW DECLARES TO BE REPEALED WERE NO LONGER IN FORCE AND WITH THEIR ABROGATION FELL TO GROUND AND BECAME NULL AND VOIDS[?]

READ IT FOR COURT [space]

SPICER MOTION WE HAVE TO MAKE IS AS FOLLOWS. "' [space] UNDER THAT AUTHORITY THAT HE LAYS DOWN HERE THE WHOLE MATTER IS HERE GIVES[?] IN SECTION 185 IT IS ESTABLISHED THERE[?] [space] REASON WHY AUTHORITY GIVES IS IN/NOT[?] GOOD AUTHORITY [space].

UNLESS THERE BE IN THIS SOME SAVING CLAUSE.

SECTION READS NO PART OF IT IS RETURNED UNLESS SPECIFICALLY SO DECLARED [space] SECTION 4 NO PART/PARTICULAR[?] APPLICATION OUT[?] WITH REGARD

"GENERALLY ASSUME[?] THAT THE PUNISHMENT OF OFFENSES MUST FOLLOW THE LAW FOR THE PUNISHMENT *TIME*[?]. [space] COURT: THIS STATUTE I HAD OCCASION TO EXAMINE IT ONCE BEFORE [space] I DON'T THINK THERE IS ANYTHING IN THE CONTENT[?] ARREST[?] THE STATUTES OF 52 WERE IN DOUBT SPICER REPLIED THERE IS NO DOUBT ABOUT THAT AND EXPLAINED EFFECT OF *IT/THE*[?] SPICER[?] REPLIED THOUGH ABOUT/AFTER/TO[?] SAVING CLAUSE THERE WAS GOOD LOT OF

LAW READ OF WHICH I DID NOT THINK THERE WAS ANY NECESSITY FOR ALTHOUGH [space] YET SO FAR AS THIS CASE IS CONCERNED WE COULD TAKE IT FOR GRANTED THAT THE LAW WAS AS LAID DOWN [space] I DO NOT SAY IT IS SO I SAY WE CAN TAKE IT AS FAR AS THIS CASE IS CONCERNED THAT THIS IS THE LAW [space] OR THERE IS NO **AUTHORITY UPON STATUTE** WHATEVER UPON A VITAL POINT IN THE MOTION I MAY POINT TO THE MOTION THIS IN REGARD TO THE EFFECT OF THIS SAVING CLAUSE *OR*[?] LANGUAGE OF THIS *KRPR/KRP*[?]. [space] THE SIMPLE LANGUAGE OF THE STATUTE THAT NO ACT OR OMISSION OR NO ACT OR OMISSION [sic] COMMENCED PRIOR TO THIS TIME

ANY ACT OR OMISSION COMMENCED AFTER 12 O'CLOCK NOON OF DAY ON WHICH THIS CODE TAKES EFFECT IS CRIMINAL

OR PUNISHABLE EXCEPT AS
PROVIDED BY THIS CODE OR BY
SOME/SUM[?] OF STATUTES THEN
IN FORCE COUNTY CITY OR
PRECINCT REGULATION AND IN
FORCE WHEN THIS CODE TAKES
EFFECT AND ANY ACT OR
OMISSION COMMENCED PRIOR
THAT TIME MAY BE INQUIRED OF
PROSECUTED AND PUNISHED IN
THE SAME MANNER AS IF THIS
CODE HAD NOT BEEN PASSED
[space]

MAY BE INQUIRED *OF*[?]
PROSECUTED AND PUNISHED —
[space]

PASSED". THIS PLAIN LANGUAGE SEEMS TO ME TO HAVE BUT ONE MEANING. NOW I DO NOT THINK THE COURT IS AUTHORIZED TO SAY THAT IT ONLY [[38]] HAD AUTHORITY TO SAY ACTS BEGUN AND THEN UNFINISHED [space] IT SAYS ANY ACT BEGUN BEFORE THAT TIME MAY BE PURSUED UNDER THE OLD LAW [space] IN OTHER WORDS IT MIGHT HAVE ALTHOUGH IN MORE/GRAND[?] WORDS THAN THIS THAT NO ACT WHETHER COMPLETED OR NOT COMPLETED PRIOR TO 12 O'CLOCK AND THAT IT MAY BE PURSUED IN ACCORDING TO THE OLD LAW [space]

## NO ACT

COMMENCED AFTER12
O'CLOCK NOON<sup>704</sup> CAN BE
PUNISHED EXCEPT IN PURSUANCE
OF THIS LAW "WE HOLD IT CLEAR
THIS WORD
COMMENCED<sup>705</sup> HAS NOT
ACQUIRED A PARTICULAR
MEANING IN LAW" [space]

BUT IT IS SUFFICIENT TO SAY THAT ANY ACT
COMMENCED BEFORE THAT TIME
THAT MY IMPRESSION IF ACTS
COMMENCED OR FINISHED ALSO
—/MRS/IMPARTS[?] ACTS
ACCORDING TO WHATEVER TIME
THAT THAT LAW IS TO GO INTO

705. Word emphasized in shorthand.

<sup>704.</sup> In left margin, in longhand: **WD, COMMENCED**.

"THIS HAS BEEN THE ABROGATION OF THAT STATUTE UNDER WHICH THIS INDICTMENT WAS FOUND [space] IT IS NOT FOR US IT IS NOT[?] COURT CONSIDER THE EFFECT OF THAT STATUTE WE STAND HERE AND CLAIM TODAY THAT IT IS A GENERAL JAIL DELIVERY IN UTAH **EVERY OFFENSE COMMITTED** PRIOR MARCH 45TH<sup>706</sup> 1876 HAS BEEN BY LEGISLATIVE ARM OF TERRITORY OF UTAH WIPED OUT EVERYTHING IS CONDONED PARDONED ABROGATED AND HELD FOR NAUGHT [space] EVERYTHING[?] PREVIOUS TO THAT WAS LIKE JEWISH JUBILEE CONDONED AND PARDONED LEGISLATURE OF UTAH TERRITORY ASSUMED NO MYSTERY IN THIS [space]

EFFECT AND *NOT*[?] FINISHED [*space*]

THERE IS NOTHING IN THE STATUTE TO HOLD THE PRISONER AND CONVEY THAT THE LEGISLATURE EVER INTENDED TO BRING AND A HAND TO JOHN D. LEE TO CRIMINALS THE SIMPLE PURPOSE WAS TO SAY THAT ALL CRIMES THAT TOOK PLACE PRIOR TO THAT TIME AND ALL ACTS WHICH HAD BEGUN AT THAT TIME AND WERE THEN INCOMPLETED COULD BE PURSUED ON UNDER THE OLD LAW AND THIS NEW LAW WOULD NOT APPLY TO IT [space] IT IS VERY PROPER FOR THIS LAW TO SAY [space] THAT THIS LAW WAS NOT TO BE IN A NATURE OF EX POST FACTO LAW [space]

[2]707 BISHOP ON STATUARY CRIMES

<sup>706. &</sup>quot;4" is written over "5" or vice versa.

<sup>707.</sup> In left margin, in longhand: **BP**.

RS PS

SECTION 151; CHAPTER SEVEN TREATING ON REPEAL OF **CRIMINAL STATUTES SECTION 151** BY SPECIFIC WORDS COMMON WORDS SPICER<sup>708</sup> MOST COMMON FORM OF REPEAL WHICH NEEDS NO ILLUSTRATION; STATUES REPEALED BY SPECIFIC WORDS NEEDS NO ILLUSTRATION SECTION 175, 6 & 7 IN **HEADLINES** "WRITE AND ARE MADE DISTINCT" THROUGH AUTHORITIES DIVIDED ON PENAL CODE PROCEEDING "SECTION 177 REPEAL STATUTES" [space] "NNS[?] LKMNT[?] TH/F[?] ON 4TH DAY MARCH 1876 IS NOT THE SAME IN SUBSTANCE OR FORM AS THAT IN EXISTENCE BEFORE THAT TIME WHICH WAS REPEALED [space] THAT LEGISLATURE ←OF THE TERRITORY OF UTAH $^{709}$ > ESTABLISHED THIS PENAL CODE CAUSING IT GO INTO EFFECT AS LAW OF THE TERRITORY OF UTAH AT THAT TIME EXPRESSLY DECLARING CERTAIN[?] TERRITORIAL LAWS UNDER WHICH THIS INDICTMENT IS FOUND AS SEEN TO BE ABSOLUTELY UNQUALIFIEDLY REPEALED AND IN PLACE OF THAT REPEALED LAW WE LEAVE THAT AS A POINT OF DISPUTE THEY ESTABLISHED ANOTHER AND ENTIRELY DIFFERENT NOT IN SUBSTANCE OR FORM THE SAME AS THE ONE THEY REPEALED PROSECUTION CAN'T BE CARRIED ON AFTER TWELVE O'CLOCK NOON 4TH MARCH 1876 BECAUSE IT WAS PROSECUTION UNDER AND IN PURSUANCE OF

<sup>708.</sup> In left margin, in longhand.

<sup>709.</sup> In left margin, in longhand: **ATTENTION**.

INDICATED STATUTE WHICH BECAME ≤VOID≥ AND IS NOT LAW[?] IT IS CLAIMED THAT THIS OPEN WIDE DOORS OF THE PRISONS JAILS IN TERRITORY [space] IT IS NEVERTHELESS THE LAW AND WAS INTENDED TO BE LAW BROTHER[?] BISHOP SENTENCE CAN'T BE PRONOUNCED LAW OF MAR 4<sup>710</sup> HE MUST BE DISCHARGED<sup>711</sup>. [space] MAY HAVE A DIFFERENT PUNISHMENT OR PUNISHMENT CAN TAKE PLACE UNDER ANOTHER STATUTE AND DEFENDANT PUNISHED FOR HIS[?] CRIME UNDER NEW LAW [space] <REPEAL<sup>712</sup>> SECTION 179 "SECTION 180 REPEAL CLAUSE". REPEAL OF THIS STATUTE IS NOT PARDON TO ALL UNPUNISHED OFFENSES AGAINST THAT STATUTE [space] READ HERE[?] LAW SECTION 181=  $\mathbf{SEE}^{713}$  [space] IF A STATUTE REPEAL EVERY ONE CONTENDS/CONTAINS[?] SUBSTITUTION THAT A SUIT UNDER THE OLD MAY BE FINISHED UNDER THE NEW "OUR GIVEN[?] REASON WHY IS, IF THEY SUGGEST[?] CONCLUSION IS NOT A CONSIDERED[?] GTHR/—[?]. ≤SECTION 186 "WHAT WAS SUBJECT<sup>714</sup>≥ WHAT WAS SUBJECT<sup>715</sup> TO PENALTY OF DEATH WAS MADE PUNISHMENT FOR LIFE THE OLD LAW REPEALED AND THERE COULD BE NO PUNISHMENT UNDER IT; **LEG'AST** REPEALED THIS *DS*-

<sup>710.</sup> In left margin.

<sup>711.</sup> The phrase is repeated twice in the left margin.

<sup>712.</sup> In left margin.

<sup>713.</sup> In left margin.

<sup>714.</sup> In left margin.

<sup>715.</sup> In left margin.

/DESTROYED[?] IF FOR[?] ANOTHER/ANY OTHER[?] CAN'T BE MADE —[?] FOR THAT PUNISHMENT BECAUSE THAT STATUTE WAS REPEALED [space] TS [space] SEC 5<sup>716</sup> NEW LAW. APPROVED/PROVIDED[?] THAT FOR/IF[?] —[?] IN MARCH 1876 ALL ACTS AND ARE TO BE PUNISHED AS PROSCRIBED IN THAT PENAL CODE; THAT IS THE WORDS USED IN THIS STATUTE AND THEREFORE THEREFORE THEREFORE. ≤\*≥ IN AS MUCH AS FORMER HAS BEEN REPEALED AND ANOTHER SUBSTITUTED WHO HAVE/—[?] —[?] *FNR/F-R*[?] COULD NOT BE PUNISHED ≤\*≥. [space] US DIG<sup>717</sup> 1870<sup>718</sup> PAGE 667 [*space*]

AND SAYS HERE RETROACTIVE UNLESS — SO FAR AS PUNISHMENT OF CRIMES IS CONCERNED IT COULD NOT BE RETROACTIVE NO COURT WOULD ALLOW IT TO BE RETROACTIVE IT WOULD BE IN VIOLATION OF THE CONSTITUTION [space] AND BE UNJUST [space]

SECTION 89. ARGUED NEW
STATUTE NOT RETROACTIVE
[space] LEGISLATURE WERE WIPED
IT AS A SPONGE UPON THE SLATE
WERE WIPED ALL PAST OFFENSES.
LEGISLATURE DID INTEND —[?]
JUST WHAT THAT LAW DECLARES
THAT LAW SHALL NOT BE
RETROACTIVE SAVING ONLY SUCH
CASES AS WERE THEN
COMMENCED AND UNFINISHED
[space] IF IT WERE NOT THERE
MIGHT BE ROOM FOR THE

<sup>716.</sup> In left margin.

<sup>717.</sup> In left margin.

<sup>718. &</sup>quot;70" written over illegible digits.

CONSIDERATION THIS HERE A SAVING CLAUSE [space] AND IMPLIED CONSIDERATION IS PROVIDED WHEN THIS ACT COMMENCED COMMENCED AT THE TIME THIS ACT WENT INTO *EFFECT*[?] [*space*] **US DIG**<sup>719</sup> 1874 PAGE 706, SECTION 65 & 66. [space] WE READ AND IT WILL BE, UPON WHICH THIS STATUTE WILL CLAIM AS ORGANIZED[?] 381 BISHOP ON STATUTORY CRIMES STATUTORY CRIMES. ALSO PROVISIONS FROM WHICH HE TAKES THIS LAW DON'T SUSTAIN HIM. WILL NOW SEE IF THIS STATUTE OF BISHOP SECTION 181 WILL APPLY. [space] <REENACTMENT<sup>720</sup>>. THE ACT IN **RELATION CRIMES PUNISHMENTS:** WHOEVER KILLS ANY HUMAN BEING MALICE AFORETHOUGHT EITHER EXPRESSLY OR IMPLIED IS GUILTY OF MURDER [space] THEY ARE NOT SAME WORDS NOR THE NUMBER OF WORDS **≤SEE NO OF WDS**≥ BUT THE VERY STATUTE THAT APPLIES THIS CASE AND BEARING UPON IT AS AFFECTS THE RIGHTS OF THIS DEFENDANT WILL BE FURTHER FOUND IN FOLLOWING SECTIONS [space] < BE NO CLAIM<sup>721</sup>> THESE STATUTES ARE THE SAME OR IN WORDS THE SAME —[?] **READ NEW** STATUTE. IT MUST BE AN REENACTMENT IN WORDS AND WE FIND THAT THESE ARE NOT THE SAME. LEGISLATURE OF THE TERRITORY OF UTAH THEY MUST INTENDED DELIBERATELY MAKE STATUTE PUT IT IN FORCE

<sup>719.</sup> In left margin.

<sup>720.</sup> In left margin.

<sup>721.</sup> In left margin.

TWELVE O'CLOCK NOON MARCH 4
1876 ABROGATED ALL
PROSECUTIONS THEN PENDING
CONDONED CAPITOL
PUNISHMENT[?] OF CRIMES AND
OFFENSES BEFORE THAT TIME
COMMITTED IT WAS A GENERAL
JAIL DELIVERY [space] KENTS
COM<sup>722</sup> SEC 1 VOL 1 PAGE 507, IT
CAN'T BE PUNISHED IF IT HAS
EXPIRED UNLESS REPEALED LAW
SPECIALLY IMPLIES OTHERWISE

THIS EVEN IF THAT PROVISION IF A CRIME HAD BEEN COMMITTED BEFORE THAT TIME THE COURTS WOULD NOT ALLOW IT TO BE PUNISHED UNDER THE ACT WHICH OCCURRED AFTER[?] THAT TIME. I DO NOT THINK THERE IS ANYTHING WHATEVER IN/FOR THE PUNISHMENT/—[?] AND NO NOT THINK THAT THE END OF JOHN D. LEE HAS COME [[39]] MOTION OVERRULED. EXCEPTION.

722. In left margin.